

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,379		09/08/2003	Chuan-Cheng Tu	U68.312-0001	9434
164	7590	05/23/2006		EXAMINER	
	Y & LANC	GE, P.A. ANGE BUILDING	PHAM, LONG		
	TH THIRD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNE	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002			2814	
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/657,379	TU ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner	
	Long Pham	2814

Application No.

Annlings(a)

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🛭 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the attached office action. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: . Long Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2814

Art Unit: 2814

Advisory Action

Status of Amendment after final

The amendment after final dated 04/24/06 has been entered.

Status of pending claims

See the final rejection dated 01/30/06.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/24/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See below.

In response to the applicant's arguments in the second full paragraph on page 5 of the amendment after final dated 04/24/06, it is submitted that Shima et al. is being relied on only for the teaching of an active layer having a side having a wave-shape border in a top view of the LED to achieve excellent characteristics. Further, it is submitted that a prior art reference is evaluated by what it suggests to one versed in the art, rather than by its specific disclosure. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). Still further, it is submitted that a reference is considered not only for what it expressly states, but for what it would reasonbly have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re DeLisle, 160 USPQ (CCPA 1969). Still further, it is submitted that Ito in combination with Shima et al. teach the claimed invention.

In response to the applicant's arguments in the paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6 of the amendment after final dated 04/24/06, it is submitted that a comparison of the recited process with the prior art process does not serve to resolve the issue concerning patentability of the product. In re Fessman, 489 F2d 742, 180 uspq 324 (CCPA 1974). Where a product is patentable depends on whether it is known in the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by whether the process by which is made is patentable. In re Klug, 333 F2d 905, 142 uspq (CCPA 1964). In an exparte case, product by process claims are not constructed as being

Application/Control Number: 10/657,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

limited to the product formed by the specific process recited. In re Hirao et al., 535 F2d 67, 190 uspq 15, see footnote 3 (CCPA 1976). Still further, it is submitted that process limitation does not carry patentability weight in a claim drawn to a structure or device. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Frid, 10am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Long/ Pharn

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814

Application/Control Number: 10/657,379

Art Unit: 2814

Page 4